•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/701,261	LUNDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	José A. Fortuna	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>José A. Fortuna</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard R. Svensson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>9-6-</u> 04	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		† • •
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants attorney to suggest the cancellation of none-elected claims, claims 43-45, in order to put the application in condition for allowance. It was also noticed that in order to make this amendment one-month extension of time was necessary. Mr. Svensson agreed with the proposed changes and indicated that one-month extension of time could be charged to account no. 02-2448.